

Exhibit 5
- pg. 1

1 You have pictures that say Bali inside the computer.

2 And let's talk about the credibility of these
3 witnesses. Why were they here talking about this? Not
4 because they wanted to. They were here because they were
5 under subpoena. They didn't want to be here. JS

6 And let's go through each of them in turn. As
7 to Minor Victim 1 and to what was going on at the time, if we
8 could look at the image of Minor Victim 1 and these other
9 victims, please, at the time, Mr. Buckley talked about the
10 fact that Minor Victim 1 never told customs control or the
11 people in London that he was scared or upset or worried or
12 any of that because he wasn't, he wanted to be there. He
13 wanted to go to London, and he did what he believed he needed
14 to do to go there, which was to cooperate with what the
15 defendant wanted him to do.

16 You know what? Mr. Buckley was absolutely
17 correct in saying that this is upsetting and repulsive
18 because all of the things the defendant did to manipulate
19 these children is upsetting and is repulsive. And you will
20 have the opportunity to find him guilty of all of those
21 crimes.

22 As to the credibility of Minor Victim 1, there
23 is absolutely no evidence to suggest that the Department of
24 Justice has done anything to influence his career, to derail
25 his career or has anything to do with his career in the

Exhibit
S
Pg. 2

Therefore without Gandy's statements on 7-20-12 KV 3-130
Would n't have said anything; HS would find bigger fish to Fry.
military. He was 15 years old when he was stopped in London,

and the fact that he was trying to protect the defendant and
to protect himself because he thought he was going to be in
trouble back when he was 15 has nothing to do with when he is
sitting here today and it's being suggested that somehow what
happened to him when he was 15 could hurt his career now.
That's just a leap and a stretch and not supported in any
way, shape or form by this record.

Let's talk about Minor Victim 2 and his
credibility. But before we get there, I just want to suggest
to you one thing as to the images that were taken of Minor
Victim 1. He testified that two images were taken in the
massage room that exposed his backside naked and the front of
his body, his genitals. And I would suggest to you that if
you look at the definition of lewd and lascivious as it's
described and related to child pornography you will find that

it fits within the instructions that Judge Rosenthal gave
you. Suggesting a pic KV's Never Seen from Illegally obtained evidence
is the #2 part of footnote 65. in the Scios case in Levins memo.

As to the credibility of all of the witnesses,
please, please scrutinize their testimony. Use the criteria
the Judge gave you because there is nothing to explain the
fact that all of them told you a similar story when they did
not know each other than it is true. They had no contact
with each other. Picture at trial shows KD & DV (Victim 3 & 2)
Together. KD dated DV, see investigation by Catherine Edwards

Minor Victim 1 was 2012. Minor Victim 3 was
Victim #4 JA admitted to meeting Victim #2 in Houston;
recognized his picture when shown at trial. So why lie?